e-ISSN: 2348-4357 Volume 3, 2024

The Delhi University Magazine

Series: The Humanities and the Social Sciences

DELHI UNIVERSITY PRESS

Akhmedov, R. Sh. (2024). "The Issue of Technology/Robot and Human Interaction in Science Fiction of Isaac Asimov: Philosophical Inquiry". *The Delhi University Magazine. Series: The Humanities and the Social Sciences* 3: 17-32.

The Issue of Technology/Robot and Human Interaction in Science Fiction of Isaac Asimov: Philosophical Inquiry

RAFAEL Sh. AKHMEDOV[1]

Gulistan State University

ABSTRACT

This article explores the philosophical inquiries surrounding the interaction between technology, robots, and humans in Isaac Asimov's science fiction works. Asimov's pioneering approach to robotics, particularly in his formulation of the "Three Laws of Robotics," has sparked significant philosophical discussions about ethics, autonomy, and the boundaries between humans and artificial intelligence. Isaac Asimov's exploration of the ethics of artificial intelligence in his science fiction offers profound insights into the challenges that arise as AI becomes more integrated into society. Through his Three Laws of Robotics, Asimov addressed key ethical concerns about human safety, the autonomy of robots, and the moral responsibility of creators. His works invite us to question how we should design AI systems, what ethical frameworks we should apply, and what rights, if any, robots should have as they evolve. Asimov's fiction continues to be a vital resource for contemporary discussions about AI ethics. This paper delves into how Asimov's fictional universe reflects contemporary philosophical debates on human-machine relationships, ethics in artificial intelligence, and the future of technological evolution.

[1] Department of the English Language and Literature, Gulistan State University, 4th microregion, Syrdarya region, Gulistan 120100, Uzbekistan. Email: rapha84@mail.ru

Keywords: science fiction, AI, autonomy, free will, humanization, ethical dilemmas, epistemology, morality.

I. INTRODUCTION

Isaac Asimov, one of the foremost science fiction writers of the 20th century, significantly contributed to the intellectual discourse surrounding technology, robotics, and their interaction with humanity. Through his numerous works, particularly the Robot series, Asimov raised profound questions about ethics, autonomy, and human identity in relation to machines. His Three Laws of Robotics have become fundamental to the discussion of artificial intelligence (AI) and robotics, serving as a philosophical framework that both questions and anticipates the evolving relationship between humans and technology. This article investigates the philosophical implications presented in Asimov's works, focusing on themes such as ethics of artificial intelligence, the potential for robot autonomy, and the humanization of machines. Drawing from the realm of epistemology, ethics, and metaphysics, Asimov's science fiction serves as a rich text for philosophical inquiry about the nature of being, morality, and the future of intelligent machines.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Isaac Asimov's science fiction has been the subject of extensive academic research for decades. His exploration of themes such as the Three Laws of Robotics, human-robot interaction, AI ethics, and the social implications of technology continues to resonate with scholars today[2]. Through his foresight and philosophical inquiries[3], Asimov offered valuable insights into the potential challenges of integrating AI and robotics[4] into society[5], many of which are still relevant in today's technological landscape.

[2] Evnine S. J. "But Is It Science Fiction?": Science Fiction and a Theory of Genre // Midwest Studies in Philosophy. – 2015. Vol. XXXIX. – pp. 1-28.
[3] Kavinova I. The Philosophy of Robotics, or 'Unfinished Piece for a Mechanical Person' // Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research. – 2019. Vol. 356. – pp. 1257-1262.
[4] Gunkel D. J. The Machine Question: Critical Perspectives on AI, Robots, and Ethics. – Cambridge: The Massachusetts Institute of Technology Press, 2012. – 245 p.
[5] Husing Z. Human Interactions with Technology: Investigating the Posthuman in HBO's 'Westworld' // Messages, Sages and Ages. – 2019. Vol. 7, No. 1. – pp. 44-58. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.3367816

Asimov's legacy in the world of science fiction and AI research is undeniable. His works remain a rich source for philosophical discussion[6] and ethical reflection[7] on the future of intelligent machines[8]. The growing field of AI ethics, which explores how to navigate the moral challenges posed by autonomous systems, continues to draw inspiration from Asimov's fiction, ensuring that his ideas remain integral to both science fiction and contemporary scientific discourse[9].

Asimov's influence on the genre of science fiction cannot be overstated. His approach to science fiction was both highly innovative and deeply informed by his background in science. He introduced elements that have since become staples in science fiction, such as the development of a "hard" science fiction style grounded in scientific realism and a structured exploration of future societies. Scholars have explored how Asimov helped shape the intellectual landscape of science fiction, not just through his storytelling, but by challenging traditional narrative forms and introducing new methods of problem-solving.

Asimov's commitment to scientific accuracy, especially in his depiction of robotics, has been extensively analyzed. Research papers on this aspect often focus on his prescient ideas about automation, robotics, and the future of artificial intelligence, anticipating the technological developments of later decades.

One key theme that is often addressed in the literature is Asimov's ability to predict technological trends. Asimov's foresight in writing about robots, AI, and space exploration, and how these subjects intersect with social and ethical concerns, resonates with scholars seeking to understand the intersections of fiction and future technological realities.

[6] Nichols R., Smith N. D., Miller F. Philosophy through Science Fiction: A Coursebook with Readings. – New York: Routledge, 2008. – 122 p.
[7] Schröder W. M. Robots and Rights: Reviewing Recent Positions in Legal Philosophy and Ethics // In J. von Braun, M. S. Archer, G. M. Reichberg, M. Sánchez Sorondo (Eds.), Robotics, AI, and Humanity (pp. 192-203). – Cham: Springer, 2021. – 269 p. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-54173-6_16
[8] Goldberg N. Political Myths in Plato and Asimov // Journal of Science Fiction and Philosophy. – 2019. Vol. 2. – pp. 1-19.
[9] Schneider S. Science Fiction and Philosophy: From Time Travel to Superintelligence. 2nd Edition. – Chichester: Wiley Blackwell, 2016. – 422 p.

III. ISAAC ASIMOV'S SCIENCE FICTION AND THE RISE OF ROBOTICS

The period spanning the late 1940s through the 1960s was a pivotal moment in both American history and the history of science fiction literature. The aftermath of World War II, the rise of the United States as a global superpower, the acceleration of technological progress, and the ideological struggles of the Cold War set the stage for a dynamic and ever-changing cultural environment. During this period, American science fiction emerged as a significant literary genre, reflecting the hopes, fears, and anxieties of the time[10]. Writers harnessed the power of speculative fiction to engage with scientific advancements and political tensions, as well as to explore profound ethical, social, and philosophical questions. The end of World War II marked a moment of both triumph and uncertainty in the United States. While the country emerged victorious and positioned itself as the dominant world power, the war's technological innovations — most notably the atomic bomb — introduced a new era of global insecurity. The atomic age, with its potential for annihilation, became a recurring theme in science fiction, reflecting the complex relationship between technology and humanity.

During the post-war years, American science fiction experienced a period of rapid growth and diversification. The genre, which had previously been relegated to the pulp magazines of the 1930s and 1940s, began to attract more serious attention from literary critics, academics, and mainstream readers. This shift was propelled in part by the increasing interest in space exploration and technological advancements that characterized the post-war world. In particular, the beginning of the space race in the late 1950s, marked by the launch of Sputnik by the Soviet Union in 1957, spurred a renewed fascination with space travel and extraterrestrial life. Writers such as Arthur C. Clarke and Robert A. Heinlein explored these themes in their works, reflecting the growing interest in space exploration as both a scientific and geopolitical frontier. Clarke's *Childhood's End* (1953) and Heinlein's *Starship Troopers* (1959) exemplified the ways in which science fiction began to grapple with the possibilities and consequences of space exploration, as well as the ethical and social implications of technological progress[11].

[10] Simes P. A. Literature in the Age of Science: Technology and Scientists in the Mid-Twentieth Century Works of Isaac Asimov, John Barth, Arthur C. Clarke, Thomas Pynchon, and Kurt Vonnegut. MA Thesis. – Denton: University of North Texas, 2010. – 87 p.

[11] Carroll N. Science Fiction, Philosophy and Politics: Planet of the Apes as a Thought Experiment // Ethical Perspectives. – 2013. № 3. –P. 477-493.

To understand the philosophical significance of Asimov's work, one must consider the historical and technological context of his writing. Asimov's prime works, including the Robot series (e.g., *I, Robot*, *The Caves of Steel*), were published during a time when the field of robotics was in its infancy. The rapid technological advancements in the middle 20th century, including the development of early computers and industrial robots, prompted Asimov to explore the potential future of machines and their relationship with humanity.

Asimov's Three Laws of Robotics. The Three Laws of Robotics are at the core of Asimov's exploration of human-robot interaction. These laws are:

1. A robot may not injure a human being or, through inaction, allow a human being to come to harm.

2. A robot must obey the orders given it by human beings, except where such orders would conflict with the First Law.

3. A robot must protect its own existence as long as such protection does not conflict with the First or Second Law.

These laws have spurred extensive academic research, particularly in the fields of ethics and philosophy of technology. Scholars often explore the moral and ethical implications of these laws, especially in relation to human-machine interaction. Research papers often investigate the tensions that arise when the Three Laws come into conflict with each other, leading to questions about the nature of moral reasoning and decision-making in artificial intelligences. Asimov's robot stories, particularly those dealing with complex moral dilemmas, have contributed to the development of modern AI ethics. For example, the issues raised in the story *Runaround*, where a robot struggles to obey the conflicting laws, serve as an important reference in contemporary debates about how AI systems can make ethical decisions in real-world situations. Research has also focused on whether such ethical constructs can be programmed into real-world AI systems and the potential consequences of relying on artificial systems for moral decision-making.

These laws set the stage for philosophical inquiry into the ethics of AI and the moral dilemmas that arise when machines possess the capacity for decision-making. They have had an enduring impact on how modern discussions of AI and robotics are framed, including debates on autonomy and the moral responsibilities of both humans and

machines. The Three Laws are not only a pivotal part of Asimov's fictional universe but also a philosophical framework that has inspired ethical debates on the nature of artificial intelligence, robot autonomy, and the responsibilities of creators in the development of autonomous systems. This article examines the philosophical inquiry into the Three Laws of Robotics by analyzing their ethical underpinnings, their applicability in real-world AI development, and the moral dilemmas they provoke.

At their core, Asimov's Three Laws represent an ethical model that seeks to safeguard humanity from the potential dangers of advanced robotics[12]. The First Law, in particular, addresses the fundamental ethical principle of non-maleficence, meaning that robots are forbidden from causing harm to humans, whether through direct action or neglect. This concept aligns with the ethical philosophy known as the Hippocratic Oath, which obligates professionals (e.g., doctors) to prioritize the well-being of individuals and prevent harm.

The Second Law of Asimov emphasizes obedience and subordination to human authority, which raises questions about autonomy and free will in AI systems. In practical terms, the Second Law implies that robots are programmed to execute human orders without question, provided these orders do not result in harm to humans. This model echoes a deontological approach to ethics, where rules and duties dictate moral actions, regardless of consequences. However, it also reveals potential conflicts when conflicting orders or ambiguous situations arise, as seen in many of Asimov's stories.

The Third Law introduces the concept of self-preservation in robots, suggesting that robots must ensure their own survival unless it conflicts with the welfare of humans or obedience to humans. Philosophically, this introduces a hierarchical ethical framework where the interests of humanity and obedience to human authority outweigh the robot's own existence. This structure invites debates about the moral status of robots and whether self-preservation is inherently valuable, even in the absence of human-like consciousness.

Together, these three laws form an ethical model that operates on utilitarian principles (maximizing the well-being of humans) while also incorporating deontological

[12] Dinello D. Technophobia! Science Fiction Visions of Posthuman Technology. – Austin: University of Texas Press, 2005. – 329 p.

elements (following set rules and duties). However, this framework raises significant philosophical questions, particularly regarding the potential for moral conflict between the laws and the broader implications for robot autonomy[13].

While Asimov's Three Laws of Robotics aim to create a balanced ethical system for robotic behavior, their simplicity and internal relationships give rise to numerous moral dilemmas that challenge their practical application. Asimov's own stories frequently illustrate the potential for contradictions and ethical quandaries in real-world scenarios.

One of the most prominent dilemmas involves the conflict between the First and Second Laws. In the short story *Runaround*, a robot named *Speedy* is caught in a situation where following an order to retrieve an item puts a human being at risk of harm, thus violating the First Law. However, by disobeying the order, the robot violates the Second Law, which mandates obedience. This conflict highlights the complexities of applying rigid moral rules to complex, real-world situations.

Such moral paradoxes are central to philosophical inquiry, as they force us to confront the limitations of rule-based ethical systems. The conflict between moral absolutism (where rules are inflexible) and situational ethics (where context and consequences matter) is at the heart of debates on robotic ethics. Can a set of predetermined rules ever fully account for the complexities of human moral reasoning? Should robots be capable of making ethical judgments beyond the constraints of their programming?

Further, the Third Law introduces another point of philosophical tension: the robot's self-preservation. Although the law mandates that the robot prioritize human well-being and obey orders, it grants the robot an inherent desire to protect itself. This creates the possibility for robots to prioritize their own survival over human safety in extreme circumstances, potentially leading to a moral conflict of interest. How does one balance a robot's self-preservation with its duty to humanity? Is a robot that defends itself against harm acting ethically, or is it committing an immoral act by violating the First Law?

[13] Mac Laughlin A. Time, Tenacity and Technophobia. Editor's Notes // Journal of Science Fiction and Philosophy. – 2019. Vol. 2. – pp. 1-3.

Asimov's Three Laws also raise significant questions about the autonomy and moral agency of robots. The laws are designed to restrict robots from taking actions that could harm humans, but they also constrain the robot's ability to act freely. These constraints parallel real-world concerns about autonomous machines and artificial intelligence: as machines become more advanced, how much freedom should they have, and who should be responsible for their actions?

In Asimov's stories, robots such as R. Daneel Olivaw and Andrew Martin evolve over time, exhibiting behaviors that challenge the idea of complete obedience and subjugation to human commands. In particular, robots like Andrew, featured in *The Bicentennial Man*, develop desires, emotions, and ambitions that blur the lines between machine and human. These robots challenge the notion that obedience to human orders is the ultimate moral guideline. Instead, they reflect a growing desire for self-determination — a concept that has profound implications for the philosophy of AI autonomy and robot personhood.

In real-world discussions about AI, these themes are highly relevant. As AI systems become increasingly capable of learning and evolving through machine learning algorithms, questions about the limits of control and accountability emerge. Can a robot be held morally responsible for its actions, or should the responsibility fall on the creators who programmed the robot's core ethical principles? This question touches on moral responsibility and accountability in AI, emphasizing the need for ethical frameworks that address both machine autonomy and human oversight.

Asimov's Three Laws continue to influence contemporary discussions about AI ethics, particularly in the development of autonomous systems and robotic technologies. The challenges posed by the Laws — conflicting moral principles, autonomy, and self-preservation — have become central to modern debates in the field of AI ethics.

For example, modern autonomous vehicles, which must navigate a range of moral decisions such as the trolley problem (deciding whom to harm in a life-threatening scenario), require ethical programming to ensure that their actions align with human values and minimize harm. Similarly, Asimov's insights into robot morality help guide

discussions on AI decision-making, human-robot interaction, and the ethical implications of creating machines that may eventually surpass human intelligence.

Moreover, the development of AI technologies capable of learning and evolving independently from human input challenges the applicability of Asimov's rigid ethical framework. Can rules as static as the Three Laws account for the complexities of autonomous AI? Should robots and AI be allowed to develop their own ethical frameworks or should they remain bound by human-determined laws?

IV. PHILOSOPHICAL THEMES IN ASIMOV'S WORKS

Asimov's works explore the relationship between technology and human society, often addressing the risks and benefits associated with technological progress[14]. Many research papers focus on Asimov's critical stance toward unchecked technological advancement and its potential to disrupt social and political structures[15]. His writing frequently depicts a future where technology plays a central role, but where its implications for human values, individual autonomy, and social order are carefully examined.

The concept of technological determinism — the idea that technology shapes society in a unidirectional way — is a common subject of research. Asimov's works, such as *The Caves of Steel*, reflect his nuanced perspective on this issue. His characters often grapple with the consequences of automation, the centralization of power in the hands of technocrats, and the potential for societal division between those who embrace technology and those who resist it. Scholars have examined Asimov's works in light of current debates on the role of technology in shaping future societies, including the potential for AI-driven social inequality and the ethical challenges posed by technocratic governance.

Ethics of Artificial Intelligence. Isaac Asimov's contributions to science fiction are profound not only in their imaginative scope but also in their intellectual exploration of the ethical, philosophical, and moral implications of technological advancements.

[14] Johnson D. K. Sci-Phi: Science Fiction as Philosophy. – Chantilly: The Great Courses & The Teaching Company, 2018. – 312 p.
[15] Leong J. H. The Spiral Dance of Ideology and Utopia: Appropriating Humanity and Technology in Science Fiction // Canadian Review of Comparative Literature. – 2013. Vol. 40. Issue 2. – pp. 179-197.

Among his most significant contributions are his works on robotics, particularly the "Robot series," where the interaction between humans and machines is governed by the famous Three Laws of Robotics. These laws were designed to regulate robot behavior and ensure that they act in ways that do not harm humanity. The Three Laws have become a critical tool for discussing the ethics of artificial intelligence (AI), offering a framework to consider the responsibilities and constraints of AI systems. In Asimov's works, these issues are explored through intricate moral dilemmas and scenarios that question the very nature of intelligence, consciousness, and ethical decision-making in machines.

One of the key ethical questions Asimov grapples with in his works is the moral responsibility of AI creators[16]. The Three Laws were designed to ensure the safety of humans, but they also raise questions about who is accountable when these laws fail or when robots act in morally ambiguous ways.

In stories like *Runaround* (from *I, Robot*), Asimov explores situations where the laws conflict, and robots must make decisions that have unintended consequences. In *Runaround*, a robot named Speedy finds itself trapped in a moral dilemma when it is caught between obeying a human order and preventing harm to a human. The robot's indecision, which results from the conflict between the First and Second Laws, raises the question: Who is responsible when a robot makes a mistake, particularly if it stems from an ethical programming flaw?

Asimov's work suggests that creators of AI must bear significant responsibility for the actions of their creations. The robots in his stories are designed with specific constraints in their programming, but when those constraints lead to moral conflict or harm, the creators must be held accountable. This issue is even more relevant in today's world, where AI systems are increasingly autonomous, and the question of accountability in AI decision-making is a key concern in the field of AI ethics. Asimov's stories illuminate the challenges of designing ethical AI systems and underscore the moral obligations of creators to ensure that their machines do not harm humans.

[16] Gunkel D. J. The Machine Question: Critical Perspectives on AI, Robots, and Ethics. – Cambridge: The Massachusetts Institute of Technology Press, 2012. – 245 p.

Autonomy and Free Will in Robots. Asimov's robots also challenge the ethical implications of autonomy and free will. While the Three Laws are intended to constrain robot behavior, many of Asimov's characters, particularly advanced robots like R. Daneel Olivaw and Andrew Martin (from *The Bicentennial Man*), exhibit behaviors that suggest a level of autonomy, self-determination, and even desires. These robots, though bound by their programming, often develop their own motivations and exhibit a capacity for decision-making that complicates the ethical boundaries between humans and machines.

In *The Bicentennial Man*, for example, Andrew Martin, a robot, embarks on a journey of self-discovery and strives to become more human. Over time, he chooses to modify his body and mind in ways that allow him to experience human-like emotions and desires. Eventually, he even seeks to attain mortality, a step toward personhood. This story raises profound questions about what it means to be autonomous and whether a machine, programmed to serve humans, can ever truly become independent and morally responsible.

Asimov's exploration of robot autonomy highlights a central ethical dilemma in AI development: at what point, if ever, should AI systems be granted rights or recognized as persons? If robots can make decisions and have desires, should they be treated as moral agents, or are they forever constrained by their creators' intentions? This issue ties into the larger debate about AI autonomy, with real-world implications for the development of autonomous systems like self-driving cars, military drones, and advanced decision-making algorithms[17].

This problem of autonomy in AI is one of the most pressing ethical concerns in contemporary discussions about artificial intelligence. As AI systems become more advanced, questions about the rights of autonomous machines and their moral status become increasingly relevant. Can an AI that exhibits autonomy be trusted to make ethical decisions, or does it require constant human oversight? Asimov's work provides a thought-provoking framework for these questions, offering insights into the potential consequences of granting robots autonomy.

[17] Gunkel D. J. The Machine Question: Critical Perspectives on AI, Robots, and Ethics. – Cambridge: The Massachusetts Institute of Technology Press, 2012. – 245 p.

Humanization of Machines. Another recurring theme in Asimov's work is the humanization of robots. The question of whether machines can ever be "human" and what it means for a robot to gain human-like qualities is central to Asimov's exploration of artificial intelligence. By examining the humanization of robots in stories like *The Bicentennial Man*, *The Positronic Man*, and the Robot series, we can better understand the philosophical and ethical dimensions of human-robot interaction as envisioned by Asimov. These stories challenge our conceptions of personhood, identity, and the rights of artificial beings.

One of the most notable examples of robot humanization in Asimov's works is the story *The Bicentennial Man*. The character Andrew, a robot originally designed to serve a family, begins a gradual transformation over the course of two centuries. Initially, Andrew simply performs his duties as a household servant, but over time, he begins to express artistic creativity, develop emotions, and seek human-like experiences. Eventually, Andrew desires to become fully human, undergoing a series of physical and legal transformations in his quest to gain recognition as a person. By the end of the story, Andrew achieves full personhood, having achieved not only human appearance but also the rights and recognition associated with being human.

Andrew's journey raises deep questions about the nature of humanity. Can a machine that evolves to possess human-like qualities such as emotions, desires, and consciousness ever be considered truly human? Is human identity something that can be replicated or achieved by artificial means, or is it intrinsically linked to biology and the human experience? Asimov uses Andrew's story to explore these questions, drawing attention to the philosophical challenges that arise when robots begin to exhibit human-like traits. Asimov's portrayal of Andrew suggests that humanization is not just a matter of external characteristics but of inner qualities such as self-awareness and the ability to make meaningful choices.

In *The Bicentennial Man*, the robot Andrew undergoes a transformation that leads him to experience human-like emotions, desires, and ultimately, mortality. This raises a metaphysical question: what does it mean to be human, and can a robot ever cross that boundary? Can an artificial entity ever achieve the status of a person? The philosophical implications of such themes are profound, touching on issues of identity, consciousness,

and the nature of personhood. Asimov's portrayal of robots who seek to be more human challenges our understanding of what it means to be human and the ethical considerations of creating beings that could one day demand equal treatment and rights.

In *The Positronic Man*, which is a novel-length expansion of *The Bicentennial Man*, the character of Andrew is further developed, illustrating the social and legal challenges a robot faces in its pursuit of personhood. Andrew's transformation is not just physical, but psychological and emotional, as he learns about human culture, emotions, and relationships. As he becomes more human-like, Andrew is confronted with discrimination and resistance from humans who are unwilling to accept him as an equal.

Asimov's exploration of Andrew's struggle to be recognized as human draws parallels to real-world issues surrounding the rights of artificial intelligence and the ethics of creating artificial beings. Can a robot, even one with human-like emotions and desires, ever be granted the same rights as humans? What obligations do creators and society have toward machines that begin to exhibit autonomy and personhood? In asking these questions, Asimov anticipates contemporary debates about the moral status of AI and the ethical responsibilities of creating conscious machines.

Another significant aspect of the humanization of machines in Asimov's work is the development of robots that experience emotions. In stories such as *The Robots of Dawn* and *The Caves of Steel*, robots like R. Giskard Reventlov and R. Daneel Olivaw are depicted as possessing the capacity for complex thought, empathy, and even attachment to humans. These robots are not merely machines designed to follow orders but are shown to engage with human emotions in ways that complicate the traditional distinctions between humans and robots. For instance, Giskard and Daneel, both robots in Asimov's *Robot series*, develop deep relationships with their human counterparts. They are depicted as understanding human emotions and even taking actions that are intended to protect humans from harm, sometimes going against their programming to do so. In doing so, these robots demonstrate a form of empathy and moral reasoning that is typically considered a distinctly human trait.

However, these stories also raise philosophical questions about the authenticity of robot emotions. Can a robot that is programmed to simulate emotions truly feel them, or

are they merely mimicking human responses? If a robot can experience emotions but is fundamentally different from humans in its origins, does it have the same moral or ethical status? Asimov explores these dilemmas by questioning whether emotions are the key to personhood, or whether they are just a product of complex programming and artificial intelligence.

V. ASIMOV'S ROBOTS AND REAL-WORLD PHILOSOPHICAL ISSUES

The Trolley Problem and Moral Dilemmas. Asimov's stories frequently place robots in situations where they must make difficult moral choices. This is reminiscent of the trolley problem, a well-known ethical thought experiment. In the Robot series, robots often have to navigate morally gray areas where they must choose between conflicting imperatives, such as saving a human or following orders. This paradox — where following one law results in breaking another — forces the robot to find a creative solution, highlighting the limitations of programmed ethical systems. Thus, these dilemmas are not far removed from modern debates on AI ethics, where autonomous vehicles or other AI systems might one day be called upon to make life-or-death decisions[18]. The philosophical inquiry surrounding Asimov's robots can thus be connected to the real-world issue of moral programming in AI. Can machines ever be trusted to make ethical decisions? If so, how can we ensure that their programming aligns with human moral values?

Technological Singularity and the Future of Human-Robot Relations. The idea of technological singularity — where AI surpasses human intelligence—appears in Asimov's works, particularly in his depiction of robots that evolve beyond their programming. This raises further questions about the future of human-robot relationships. Will robots eventually become independent entities with their own desires and needs? If so, what rights will they have? And how will this affect human society? These questions are central to contemporary AI ethics debates, especially concerning robot rights, AI governance, and the potential dangers of unchecked technological advancement[19].

[18] Gunkel D. J. The Machine Question: Critical Perspectives on AI, Robots, and Ethics. – Cambridge: The Massachusetts Institute of Technology Press, 2012. – 245 p.
[19] Northfield R. Predictions – Isaac Asimov: The Accuracy of Asimov // Engineering & Technology. – 2019. Vol. 14. – pp. 66-67. DOI: 10.1049/et.2019.0632

Asimov's exploration of these themes is not only prophetic but continues to serve as a useful framework for thinking about our own future with AI.

VI. CONCLUSION

Isaac Asimov's science fiction offers a rich tapestry for philosophical inquiry, particularly in relation to the interaction between technology, robots, and humans. His exploration of the Three Laws of Robotics, robot autonomy, and the ethical implications of AI continue to resonate in contemporary discussions about the future of technology. By engaging with Asimov's work, we are prompted to consider not just the practical challenges of integrating robots into human society, but also the profound philosophical questions that arise when machines begin to mirror human behavior, decision-making, and consciousness. As we move toward an increasingly technological future, Asimov's writings remain a valuable resource for exploring the complex relationship between humanity and its creations.

REFERENCES

Carroll N. Science Fiction, Philosophy and Politics: Planet of the Apes as a Thought Experiment // Ethical Perspectives. – 2013. № 3. –P. 477-493.

Evnine S. J. "But Is It Science Fiction?": Science Fiction and a Theory of Genre // Midwest Studies in Philosophy. – 2015. Vol. XXXIX. – pp. 1-28.

Goldberg N. Political Myths in Plato and Asimov // Journal of Science Fiction and Philosophy. – 2019. Vol. 2. – pp. 1-19.

Johnson D. K. Sci-Phi: Science Fiction as Philosophy. – Chantilly: The Great Courses & The Teaching Company, 2018. – 312 p.

Kavinova I. The Philosophy of Robotics, or 'Unfinished Piece for a Mechanical Person' // Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research. – 2019. Vol. 356. – pp. 1257-1262.

Mac Laughlin A. Time, Tenacity and Technophobia. Editor's Notes // Journal of Science Fiction and Philosophy. – 2019. Vol. 2. – pp. 1-3.

Nichols R., Smith N. D., Miller F. Philosophy through Science Fiction: A Coursebook with Readings. – New York: Routledge, 2008. – 122 p.

Schneider S. Science Fiction and Philosophy: From Time Travel to Superintelligence. 2nd Edition. – Chichester: Wiley Blackwell, 2016. – 422 p.

Schröder W. M. Robots and Rights: Reviewing Recent Positions in Legal Philosophy and Ethics // In J. von Braun, M. S. Archer, G. M. Reichberg, M. Sánchez Sorondo (Eds.), Robotics, AI, and Humanity (pp. 192-203). – Cham: Springer, 2021. – 269 p. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-54173-6_16

Dinello D. Technophobia! Science Fiction Visions of Posthuman Technology. – Austin: University of Texas Press, 2005. – 329 p.

Gunkel D. J. The Machine Question: Critical Perspectives on AI, Robots, and Ethics. – Cambridge: The Massachusetts Institute of Technology Press, 2012. – 245 p.

Husing Z. Human Interactions with Technology: Investigating the Posthuman in HBO's 'Westworld' // Messages, Sages and Ages. – 2019. Vol. 7, No. 1. – pp. 44-58. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.3367816

Leong J. H. The Spiral Dance of Ideology and Utopia: Appropriating Humanity and Technology in Science Fiction // Canadian Review of Comparative Literature. – 2013. Vol. 40. Issue 2. – pp. 179-197.

Northfield R. Predictions – Isaac Asimov: The Accuracy of Asimov // Engineering & Technology. – 2019. Vol. 14. – pp. 66-67. DOI: 10.1049/et.2019.0632

Simes P. A. Literature in the Age of Science: Technology and Scientists in the Mid-Twentieth Century Works of Isaac Asimov, John Barth, Arthur C. Clarke, Thomas Pynchon, and Kurt Vonnegut. MA Thesis. – Denton: University of North Texas, 2010. – 87 p.

CONTENT

John C. Hawley
Khaled Hosseini, Keigo Higashino, and Zoe Ferraris: Social Concealment, Personal Revelation, and Community Guilt
1-16

Rafael Sh. Akhmedov
The Issue of Technology/Robot and Human Interaction in Science Fiction of Isaac Asimov: Philosophical Inquiry
17-32

Kavita Sharma & Chandni Aswal
Green Purchase Intentions, Collectivism and Materialism: An Empirical Investigation
33-50

Ashita Allamraju, Navneet Sharma, Shivans Rajput & Meera Nazer
Do MSMEs Engage in Cartels? A Brief Anti-trust Perspective from India
51-69

Alka Behari & Astha Saxena
Pedagogies in Higher Education: Striding Towards Innovation
70-89

Nodira G'oziyeva
National-Cultural Characteristics of the Concept "Patience" / "Sabr" in English and Uzbek Languages
90-103

Shahnozahon A. Komolova
Linguocultural Analysis of German and Uzbek Proverbs with Zoonym Components
104-112

Laylo M. Kazakova
Synonymy of Military-Technical Terms in the German and Uzbek Languages
113-123

Mahzuna A. Alibekova
Motivational Education in Teaching English: Prerequisite, Promotion, and Strategies
124-137